## GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI DIRECTORATE OF TRAINING & TECHNICAL EDUCATION MUNI MAYA RAM MARG, PITAMPURA, NEW DELHI (RTI BRANCH, DTTE)

(Ph. No. 27321024, Email:- piohqtte.delhi@gov.in)

NO.F.2 (16)/2006/RTI/TTE/ID No.4714/312-313

Dated: 12 2/19

Sh. Kishan Chand, H.No. M-29 Upper Ground Floor, Back Side, Hari Nagar, New Delhi- 110064

Sub: Supply of information Under RTI Act-2005

Sir,

With reference to your application received on 15/01/2019 vide ID No. 4714 addressed to the undersigned regarding supply of information under Right to Information Act 2005. The replies/information in r/o DTTE (HQ) provided by Custodians of record whose help was taken u/s 5(4) of RTI Act 2005 is as under:

Information provided as per available records in the branches
The applicant has sought action taken report on CIC's decision dated 11.08.11 with the submission that he has enclosed the copy of the said decision alongwith his RTI application.  In regard to report dated 11.08.11. it is submitted that on the instance, of Vigilance officer letter dated 04.07.12 the Principal, ITI Pusa was requested to furnish the status report. The then Principal of ITI Pusa, Sh. M.N.Sharma vide his letter dt. 07.01.2013 adddressed to AD(Training) submitted that "No such report dated 11-08-2011 is traceable/available in ITI – Pusa".  Sh. M.N.Sharma, in PGC, on dt. 24-02-2014, contended that no such report, dt 11-08-11 was submitted by him.
The applicant has sought the information regarding action taken against on the officer of this Deptt. In this regard, attention is invited towards CIC decision in the matter No .CIC/BS/A/2014/001869+1885+2107+2020+2189+2190+219/8241 dated 03.08.2015 filed by Mohmad Iqbal Soharatali Ansari wherein the CIC referred decision of the Supreme Court of India in the SLP © No. 27734 of 2012 (Girish R. Deshpande V/s CIC and others) in which it has been held that:- "The performance of an employee/Officer in an organization is primarily matter between the employee and the employer and normally those aspects are governed by the service rules which fall under the expression personal information, the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or public interest. On the other hand, the disclosure of which could cause unwarranted invasion of the privacy of that individual".

The Supreme Court further held that such information could be disclosed only if it would serve a larger public interest. The information sought by the Appellant in this case is about some complaints made against a government official and any possible action the authorities might have taken on those complaints. It is, thus, clearly the kind of information which is envisaged in the above Supreme Court Order. Therefore, the information is completely exempted from disclosure under the provisions of the RTI Act.

As per the above cited decision, information relating to disciplinary proceedings against a public servant and action taken thereon is exempted under Section 8 (1) (j) of the RTI Act unless larger public purpose is demonstrated.

Information as per Sl. No.3

No information is available in this regard in this office. However, your RTI application has also been transferred to the concerned PIOs dated 16/01/2019 under Section 6(3) of RTI ACT 2005.

As per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 u/s 19 (1), if you are not satisfied, you may file an appeal to the 1st Appellate Authority. The address of First Appellate Authority is as under:-

The First Appellate Authority, Department of Training & Technical Education, Room No. 103, Ist Floor, Pitampura, Delhi- 110034.

(JITENDER RATHI)
PIO (RTI) DTTE

 $\mathcal{Z}$ opy for information to:-

1. The System Analyst (Computer Branch), DTTE with the request for upload the same on the Departmental Website. (Copy of RTI application is also enclosed).

Computer Brunn