

SPEED POST /OUT TODAY/
MOST URGENT

GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI
DIRECTORATE OF TRAINING & TECHNICAL EDUCATION
MUNI MAYA RAM MARG, PITAMPURA, NEW DELHI
(RTI BRANCH, DTTE)

(Ph. No. 27321014 , Email:- piohqtte.delhi@gov.in)

NO.F.2 (16)/2006/RTI/TTE/ID No.4705/ 58-59

Dated: 14/01/19

To

Sh. P.C.Garg,
House No. S- 468, IIIrd floor,
School Block, Shakarpur
Near Laxmi Nagar Metro Station,
Delhi - 110092

Sub: Supply of information Under RTI Act-2005

Sir,


With reference to your application received on **01/01/2019** vide ID No. **4705** addressed to the undersigned regarding supply of information under Right to Information Act 2005. The replies/information in r/o DTTE (HQ) provided by Custodians of record whose help was taken u/s 5(4) of RTI Act 2005 is as under:-

Information Sought	Information provided as per available records in the branches
Information as per Point No. 1 & 2	Information sought is available in 04 pages. As applicant has already paid the charges for its copies under RTI Act 2005. Hence documents are enclosed .

As per provisions of the RTI Act, 2005 u/s 19 (1), if you are not satisfied, you may file an appeal to the Ist Appellate Authority. The address of First Appellate Authority is as under:-

The First Appellate Authority,
Department of Training & Technical
Education, Room No.103, 1st Floor,
Pitampura, Delhi- 110034.

Encl: As above 04 pages.


14/1/19

(JITENDER RATHI)
PIO (RTI) DTTE

Copy for information to:-

1. The System Analyst (Computer Branch), DTTE with the request for upload the same on the Departmental Website. (Copy of RTI application is also enclosed).

Sub: Regarding charge discrepancy matter in r/o Sh. P.C. Garg, C.I. (Electronics)

212. Synopsis of the case:

213. Sh. P.C. Garg, Craft Instructor (C.I.), Electronics, was transferred from ITI Nand Nagri to ITI Pusa and Sh. Y.R.Mehta, C.I. was transferred in his place.

214. During the charge taking / handing over process Sh. Y. R. Mehta refused to take charge of 27 items, citing reason that make /specification of these items did not match with the items entered in the stock ledger.

215. To sort out the issue, a Technical Expert Committee was constituted at institute level and it submitted its report finding therein that 27 items, which are physically present, differ in the make/specification as mentioned in stock ledger.

216. The matter was referred to TTE(HQ) and it was advised that the matter is within the competency of Principal of the Institute and it can be resolved at institute level. The matter was again forwarded to DTTE, HQ with submission that Principal/HOD being Store officer is not authorized to alter the make/specification of items in store ledger without the approval of higher authority. She further added that most of the items were procured before year 2000 and they are not listed in current/revised syllabus of trade Electronics Mechanic and do not serve the purpose of training and are at the verge of condemnation. She also requested to authorise her to make amendment in entries of 27 items (make/specification) which are physically present in the store ledger for the disposal of issue pending since long.

217. Accounts Branch, DTTE, observed that as per GFR there was no such provision to change the specification of the items at later stage and further advised that "any amendments of specification of the items may be made in stock register as per bills or from the items already existing in the institute. Specifications of existing items should be the same as per bills (which were kept in the office copy in the Accounts Branch) of procurement and supply order." (para 76 page 14/N).

218. In response, Principal ITI Nand Nagri informed that the bills in question could not be traced out and therefore it is not feasible to amend specification of the items in stock register as per bills or from the items already existing in the institute. DCA observed that the variations have been highlighted by the Committee but not indicated whether the items present physically are of the same specifications and utility as entered in the ledger.

219. In the light of above observation the committee constituted at institute level re-examine the matter and observed that :

1. The items under the charge of Sh. P. C. Garg, C.I. Electronics Mech. which are under dispute for difference of physical available items with the store record
2. As deemed in the office order it is stated that these items except Sl. No. 17 are of no use for electronic trade as per the new revised syllabus.
3. As per the list, the items do not tally with the store ledger of the Institute.
(refer page 105/C)

220. Further AO (HQ) observed that "if the specification of existing items is not the same with the items entered in the stock register, the amount of Rs. 2, 40,155/- as proposed may be recovered from the concerned official."

221. A meeting was convened in the chamber of Admn. Officer (Trg.) and in the meeting it was decided to constitute an independent committee (comprising Lecturers from Polytechnics) to inquire into the matter.

222. Said committee submitted its observations and main observations are as under:

- (i) For first group of items, where items S.No. 1 to 9, 10 to 17 and 21, 22 included, the committee in general recommended that "As per stock ledger entry" there is no difference.

(ii) For second group (item S.No. 18, 23, 26&27) where there is a difference in make of the item committee recommended that *"there is no difference in specification & purpose of utility is same to serve the training. As per stock ledger entry there is difference in make of the item, this item is functionally wise same."*

(iii) For third group (item No. 16, 19& 20), the committee recommended that *"It was same handed over by previous faculty to Sh. Garg on 18.05.2010."*

223. Comments of Accounts Branch, DTTE(HQ) and Principal, ITI, Nand Nagari were sought on this report. Accounts Branch (HQ) commented that "the difference in make & model of the stock may only be considered if it is mentioned in the original bills, but, in the present case, original bills are not traceable. Moreover, there is no provision mentioned in the rules for granting of relaxation for making any alteration/change in stock."

224. On this report Principal, ITI, Nand Nagri observed that "nothing adverse is noticed".

226 From foregoing, it is evident that:

(i) The committee formed at institute level observed that these items except Sl. No. 17 are of no use for Electronic Trade as per new syllabus.

(ii) The independent committee found no noticeable discrepancy in the items in question (including Item No. 17) and where the committee found any difference in make it termed it as "item is functionally same".

(iii) Accounts Branch (HQ) observed that there is no provision mentioned in the rules for granting of relaxation for making any alteration/change in stock."

(iv) ITI, Nand Nagri observed that "nothing adverse is noticed".

226. In this regard it is worth mentioning that much time and effort has been put in for resolving this issue. Detailed examination at various levels/Committees have not indicated any malafide intention. Therefore, following is proposed:

(i) Principal of the Institute may be allowed to make amendments in entries (in r/o make / specification) of 27 items in the store ledger and official concerned who is to take charge from Sh. P.C. Garg, C.I., may be directed to take charge of these 27 items on, as and where is basis and then process of condemnation may also be initiated.

(ii) The cost of item No. 17 (as per rules) may be recovered from Sh. P.C. Garg, C.I.

227. Submitted please.

~~S.O. (Trg.)~~

7/11/18

07/12/18

Secy (O) IT
15/12/18

A.O. (M.G.)

~~SECRET~~

~~03/12/18~~

Secy (TTE)

12/2/18

~~J D NGA~~

13/12/18

St. Bratton

AO (T₂)

10/1/52

17/12/18

-51/W-

228. May Kindly refer approval at page 50/2
accordingly a fair letter to Principal D.N. Nand
Nagar is prepared and placed opposite
for approval & signature please

229. Submitted Please.

G.T.

28/12/18

S.O. (Tg)
(on leave)

A.O. (Tg)

28/12

H. Bhatia

GOVERNMENT OF NCT OF DELHI
DIRECTORATE OF TRAINING AND TECHNICAL EDUCATION
MUNIMAYA RAM MARG; PITAM PURA, DELHI
(NG/E-II BRANCH)

No.F.25/1302/Trg. Admn./2013/Part-III/ **B58**

Dated: **28/12/2018**

To

The Principal
ITI, Nand Nagri
Delhi.


Sub: Reg. – Charge discrepancy matter in r/o Sh. P.C.Garg Craft Instructor(Electronics), ITI Pusa.


Madam,

I am directed to convey the following decision for necessary action at your end:

- (i) Principal of the Institute is allowed to make amendments in entries (in r/o make / specification) of 27 items in the store ledger and official concerned who is to take charge from Sh. P.C. Garg, C.I., to be directed to take charge of these 27 items on, as and where is basis and then process of condemnation is also to be initiated.
- (ii) The cost of item No. 17 (as per rules) may be recovered from Sh. P.C. Garg, C.I.

This issues with the prior approval of the Secretary, TTE.


(Amod Barthwal)


 Adminisatrative Officer (Trg.)


No.F.25/1302/Trg. Admn./2013/Part-III/

Dated: /12/2018

Copy to:

1. P. S. to Secretary, TTE.
2. Sh. P. C. Garg, C.I. (Electronics), ITI Pusa.
3. Sh. Y.R. Mehta, C.I. (Electronics), ITI Nand Nagri, the official concerned who has to take charge from Sh. P.C. Garg as above .


(Amod Barthwal)

 Adminisatrative Officer (Trg.)

To

The PIO RTI Cell H.Qtr
Directorate of Trg. & Tech Education
Pitam Pura Delhi-110088

4725/2520
11/11/18

Time Bound RTI Matter

dt 31/12/2018

Kind Attention : Sh Jitenter Kr Rathi ji , PIO /Nodal Officer (RTI)

Sub : Documentary Information under RTI act 2005 , regarding to Sort out the charge discrepancy matter in r/o P C Garg .

R/Sir,

Kindly provide me documentary information under RTI act 2005 from files No F.25/1302/Trg-Admn/2013 /part file -iii (Computer No 033321878.) file retained in NG branch after approve by higher authority.

1. Kindly provide me attested copies of noting sheet page no : 49 to 50-51 /N- or up to last noting sheet page no of above said file , along with final decision order copy as approve by Higher authority TTE after submission by AO Trg NG on charge discrepancy matter on the basis of H.Qtr constituted Independent Committee report (polytechnic level) to sort out the matter.
2. The cost of Rs.10/- in form of Postal order no 43F 208108 is enclosed herewith as RTI information fee along with photocopy charges fee in addition of Rs. 10/- by postal order no 43 F 208109 also attached.

Thanking you

Yours faithfully

(P.C Garg)

CI Electronics ITI Pusa
Mob 9810755488

Address : S- 468 iird floor School block Shakarpur Near Laxmi Nagar Metro Station Delhi -110092