

**GOVT. OF NCT OF DELHI
DIRECTORATE OF TRAINING & TECHNICAL EDUCATION
MUNI MAYA RAM MARG, PITAMPURA, NEW DELHI
(RTI BRANCH, DTTE)
(Ph. No. 27321014, Email:- piohqtte.delhi@gov.in)**

NO.F.2 (16)/2006/RTI/TTE/ID No.4417/2352-53
To

Dated: 24/08/17

Sh. Sham Sunder,
House No-H-66, Sant Nagar Extension,
Tilak Nagar, New Delhi- 110018.


Sub: Supply of information under DRTI Act-2001.

Sir,

With reference to your application ID No. 4417 dated 02/08/2017 addressed to the undersigned regarding supply of information under Delhi Right to Information Act 2001. The requisite information is as under:-

Information as per Sl. No. 1 (A&B)	Information sought is available in 16 pages. The applicant may deposit Rs. 80/- (16 x5) as per DRTI Act, 2001 to enable this office to supply the information.
------------------------------------	--

Yours faithfully,



(VIVEK KR. TRIPATHI)

ADDITIONAL DIRECTOR, DTTE

✓ Copy to:- **The Assistant Programmer, DTTE with the request for upload the same on the Departmental Website. (Copy of RTI application is also enclosed.**

Muni Maya Ram Marg, Pitam Pura,
Delhi-110034.

1417/EDNW
2/8/12

Subj: - Information under DRTI - 2001

Respected Sir,

(A) - In the Tribunal disposed of AI- 3371/2012, the respondents mentioned that they have revised the pay of the applicants again vide orders dated 10-07-2013, but the deptt did not revised the pay scale from 5500-175-9000 to 6500-200-10500 w.e.f. 28/08/2003. In Steno Instructor case, the deptt upgraded the pay scale from 5500-175-9000 to 6500-200-10500 (after 12 yrs of their service) in G.O. 4600 by the court order.

(B) - As per Hon'ble CAT in OA No - 3036/2011, the deptt submitted that Steno Instructor post is an Isolated Post but the Court did not accept - The Steno Instructor post is an Isolated Post (The Craft Instructor (Steno) is an Isolated Post is factually incorrect). On the other hand, the Vocational Instructors are the feeder cadre for Craft Instructors.

Kindly provide the information regarding this disparity and why it is not upgraded in the pay scale of 6500-200-10500 w.e.f. 28/08/2003

I am depositing the application fee Rs 25/- (Rs Twenty five only) by the Indian Postal order - 1. OSG-367283-20/- } 25/-
2. 66C-065442-05/- }

Enclosure = 5 Nos


D.A.
Anand
2.8.17

Yours faithfully
Suresh
(Shree Suresh)
Retired C.I.

"Principal Superintendent

Training and Placement Officer

*Vice Principal



* wherever sanctioned."

8. From the aforesaid chart, it is clear that the submission of the respondent that the Craft Instructors (stenography) is an isolated post is factually incorrect. On the other hand, the Vocational Instructors are the feeder cadre for Craft Instructors. Even otherwise, as per standard pay scales contained in CCS (Revised) Pay 2008, the revised pay scale of Rs. 5500-9000 in P 9300-34800 with grade pay of Rs. 4200. The revised pay scale is Rs. 9300-34800 with grade pay of Rs. 4600. We, therefore, do not find anything whatsoever on the part of the respondent's revised pay scale of Rs. 9300-34800 with

4200 in PB-2 to the applicants. On the other hand, they
should have been given the same pay scale of Rs. 9300-
34800 with grade pay of Rs. 4600 given to the Craft
Instructors of other trades.

9. In the above facts and circumstance
allow this OA. We hold that there shall be case, we
the pay band of Craft Instructor (stenography in
Craft Instructors of other trades working in this
Delhi and all of them are entitled for the same grat.
Rs. 4600. Accordingly, we direct the respondents to
the pay bank of Rs. 4600 to the applicants also, as t
have granted to other similarly placed Craft Instructors i
other trades. Further, the respondents shall work out the
arrears of pay and allowances which are payable to the
applicant. Even though, the applicants have sought
payment of interest @12% p.a. on the arrears of pay from
the due date till such payments are made, we follow the
directions of this Tribunal in its order in OA-1233/2009
(supra) and direct the respondents to pay interest @9% p.a.
to the applicant from the date the amount of arrears
became due till payment thereof. The aforesaid direction
shall be complied with, within a period of two months from
the date of receipt of a copy of this order. There shall be no
order as to costs.

(Dr. A.K. Mishra)
Member (A)

(George Paracken)
Member (J)

George Paracken
:True Copy of Its Original:

End - (A)

**CENTRAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL
PRINCIPAL BENCH**

C.P. No.128/2016 in
O.A. No.3371/2012
M.A. No.797/2016

Order Reserved on: 27.02.2017
Order Pronounced on: 17-03-2017

HON'BLE MR. V. AJAY KUMAR, MEMBER (J)
HON'BLE MR. P.K. BASU, MEMBER (A)

1. Deepak Jain,
S/o Shri J.P. Jain,
Aged about 52 years,
R/o G-100/5,
Dilshad Colony, Delhi-110095.
2. Ashok Kumar Vashisht,
S/o Shri Jagdish Chand Sharma,
Aged about 60+ years
R/o RZ-19,
South Extension Part-II,
Uttam Nagar,
New Delhi-110059.
3. Sham Sunder,
S/o Late Shri Sohan Lal,
Aged about 59 years,
R/o H-66, Sant Nagar Extension,
Tilak Nagar, New Delhi-110018.

(By Advocate: Shri K.K. Patel)

-Petitioner

Versus

Shri Kewal Kumar Sharma,
Chief Secretary,
Govt. of NCT of Delhi,
'A' Wing, 5th Level,
Delhi Secretariat, I.P. Estate,
New Delhi-110002.

Smt. Punya Salia Srivastava,
The Principal Secretary (TTE)
Govt. of NCT of Delhi



v-2. awwar

violation of the orders of this Tribunal and accordingly they are liable for committing contempt of the orders of this Tribunal.

7. On the other hand, the respondents would submit that they have fully complied with orders of this Tribunal by passing a speaking order on 20.11.2012 itself and hence no contempt is maintainable against them. It is further submitted that the present contempt is not maintainable as the same was filed beyond the period of limitation.

8. This Tribunal while disposing of OA No.3371/2012 only directed the respondents to examine the cases of the applicants keeping in view the orders of this Tribunal and if the applicants found similarly placed like the applicants in other OAs, they be given the same benefits; else they shall pass appropriate speaking orders. Accordingly, the respondents issued speaking orders on 20.11.2012 stating that the respondents are not similarly placed by giving certain reasons. also revised the pay of the applicants again vide order dated 10.07.2013. Therefore, we are of the considered that the respondents have substantially complied with the orders of this Tribunal.

Shri
order

