

DIRECTORATE OF TRAINING & TECHNICAL EDUCATION

MUNI MAYA RAM MARG, PITAMPURA, DELHI-110034.

O/o FAA/JOINT DIRECTOR (TTE)

(Ph. No.20871221, Email:- jdtte.delhi@gov.in)

No. F.2 (16)/2022/RTI/Appeal No-1521/1460-63

Dated: 21/12/22

| Name of applicant | Date of Application | Reply given by PIO | Date of Appeal | Appeal No. | ID No. |
|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------|------------|--------|
| Mr. Manoj Kumar | 11/10/2022 | 10/11/2022 | 17/11/2022 | 1521 | 5245 |

ORDER

Heard on 16th December 2022 at 1500 Hrs.

**Present: - PIO- Present
Appellant – Present**

Submissions of Appellant & PIO are heard to the depth and documents available on the record are also perused. It is observed that information furnished by the PIO is in order. Therefore, no interference is required at this stage under RTI Act, 2005.

Further, the appellant has filed the RTI application through College of Art Employee Association. DD(SB) is hereby directed to examine the legitimate validity of College of Art Employee Association. Further, Mr. Pulkit Jawa with the appellant Mr. Manoj have been present during the hearing. Therefore, DD(SB) is directed to examine whether they have taken prior permission of the concerned authorities of College of Art to attend the hearing during working hours. The appellant is advised to file the RTI application at individual level instead of filing RTI application through College of Art Employee Association, till the Association prove its legitimate status.

Appeal disposed off, accordingly. If appellant is not satisfied with this direction, he may file second appeal before Hon'ble CIC, CIC Bhawan, Baba Gangnath Marg Munirka, New Delhi - 110067 within the stipulated time.



**(Dr. O P Shukla)
FAA/JOINT DIRECTOR (TTE)**

**Mr. Manoj Kumar,
c/o College of Art Employee Association,
20-22, Tilak Marg, New Delhi-110001
Ph. No. 9891419444**

Copy for information and necessary action to:-

1. PIO, DTTE (HQ), Delhi.
2. DD (SB), DTTE (HQ) to comply with the directions and send a compliance report to F.A.A. DTTE within 15 days of receiving of this order.
3. The System Analyst (Computer Branch), DTTE with the request for upload the same on the Departmental Website. (Copy of First Appeal application is also enclosed).

16721cB
22/12/22

1-D NO. 1521
dt 17/11/22

First Appeal under SECTION 19 OF RTI Act-2005

To,

Sh. O. P. Shukla joint Director-cum-
First Appellate Officer,
Department of Training & Technical Education,
Room No 103, 1st floor,
Pitampura, Delhi 110034

Sub: First Appeal under RTI 2005.

Detail of RTI Application:-

| | |
|--------------------|--|
| Name of the CPIO | A.N GAUR |
| Address of the PIO | Department of Training & Technical Education, Pitampura, Delhi |

Detail of RTI Application:-

I had filed an application under RTI Act 2005 on 10-10-2022, (**Annexure A-1**) and aggrieved from the reply vide F.2(16)/2006/RTI/TTE/ID No. 5245/1308-09, Dt. 10.11.2022, (**Annexure A-2**) The PIO supply irrelevant information as he received from custodian of the record i.e DD(SB)the with apply his mind whether the information is related to my quarry of not, which is nothing but a mockery of the Act.

Ground for Appeal/ Grievances:-

1. That PIO provided the information without reading the entirety of DD(SB) 's reply to F.1 (313)RTI/SB/DTTE/2021/1668, dated 28.10.2022. The aforementioned letter or document is irrelevant, pointless, and contrived, which is against RTI Act regulations.
2. That the information provided conflicts with itself.

| Particulars of RTI Application dated 10.10.2022 | Reply of PIO dated 10-11-2022 to the RTI Application |
|---|---|
| i. Please provide me the Seniority list that was in effect when Dr. B S Chauhan, an assistant professor (Re-designated as Professor under CAS), received the charge of the principal. | Dr B S Chauhan was given the charge of Officiating Principal, College of Art and he was senior most faculty in the college. |
| ii. kindly send me the seniority list that was | No records available in this matter |

Received
dated 17/11/22

| | |
|--|--|
| approved before the suggested seniority date of August 25, 2022 | However, the preparation of new seniority list is under process |
| iii. Please provide me the Dopt, DTTE, and CCS rules and instructions in light of the fact that Dr. B. S. Chauhan, an assistant professor (now a professor under CAS), was appointed principal despite the presence of associate professors at the time. | Dr B S Chauhan was given the charge of Officiating Principal (not Regular) without any extra remuneration after the approval of Hon'ble Lt Governor. Delhi |

Analysis of the Reply of the PIO:-

1. That in response to point no. DD (SB) supplied the false and contradictory information because he claimed that "Dr. B S Chauhan is the senior most faculty in the college," but in response to point no. 2 he said that there is no record available in this matter. It is therefore very puzzling how, in the absence of a seniority list, he arrived at the conclusion that Dr. B S Chauhan, Assistant Professor (Re-designated as Professor under CAS), is the senior most. It is important to note that, at the time he was appointed as the college's acting Principal, there were two (2) Associate professors and two Assistant professors who were more senior to him.
2. That in response to point no. 2, he reviled the fact that there is no record in this matter available. This means that, up until August 25, 2022, when the proposed, manipulated seniority list was published, there was no seniority list available, and Dr. B. S. Chauhan was appointed acting principal of the college using a pick-and-choose strategy by misleading higher authorities like the Principal Secretary and Hon. Lt. Governor of the GNCT of Delhi.
3. That in point no. 3 DD(SB) not even touch that matter of my quarry, and supply totally fake, baseless, and manipulated information to save Dr. B. S. Chauhan and the Official from DTTE who were engaged in the manipulation process for misleading the higher authorities, like Principal Secretary and Hon'ble Lt Governor of Delhi for giving him charge of Officiating Principal despite the fact that Dr. B. S. Chauhan was neither qualified for the Post nor he was Senior Most Faculty. Furthermore, even though there were associate professors present at the time, DD(SB) failed to provide the


DoPT, DTTE, and CCS rules and instructions based on those Dr. B. S. Chauhan was appointed as the college's officiating principal.

Prayer

As explained above said, please instruct DD(SB) to only provide real and truthful information, not false, fabricated, and misleading information, in accordance with my RTI Application dated 10.11.2022.

Applicant

Date:-15.11.2022



(Manoj Kumar)

Email:- coaea2022@gmail.com

Ph. No:-9891419444